bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.15.468721; this version posted November 19, 2021.



Hierarchical organization of rhesus macaque behavior

Benjamin Voloh¹, Benjamin R. Eisenreich¹, David J-N. Maisson¹, R. Becket Ebitz¹, Hyun Soo Park², Benjamin Y. Hayden^{1*}, Jan Zimmermann^{1*}

* Denotes equal contribution

 ¹Department of Neuroscience, Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, Center for Neuroengineering,
²Department of Computer Science and Engineering University of Minnesota, Minneapolis MN 55455

> Neurobiology Lab Journal Club Presented by: James Goodman 07. March 2022



Optical Motion Tracking is Booming





DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning

Alexander Mathis^{1,2}, Pranav Mamidanna¹, Kevin M. Cury³, Taiga Abe³, Venkatesh N. Murthy², Mackenzie Weygandt Mathis^{1,4,8*} and Matthias Bethge^{1,5,6,7,8}









- An update on a very cool whole-body primate motion tracking platform
- A deep dive into an unsupervised clustering framework for behavioral analysis
- Within this framework, how many distinct behaviors are there?
 - A lower bound on the number of contexts a primate brain must flexibly manage?
 - Contrast with frameworks where behavior is traversal of a continuum



Tracking and Data Processing Methods











Modules correspond to intuitive semantic groups

Postural clusters are stable over multiple values of a transition lag parameter

Postural clusters persist even when considering long (>3s) transition periods

Subject-, but not task-, specific differences in terms of fundamental timescale of transitions

- Our method is pretty cool (and it is!)
- Individual- and task-specific effects
- Specifications of our model that we think are important
 - We use kinematic information on the timescale of poses and postures
 - We perform clustering on the basis of Markovian state (posture) transitions
 - We use a totally unsupervised method, although supervised methods could wrangle the data to better fit priors
- Environmental demands (i.e., specific tasks) give rise to behavioral stabilization
 - Perhaps fewer actions are present in the repertoire under pressure
 - Perhaps there is just less variability within those action clusters
- Automated ethogramming would be pretty rad

- Both involve low-dimensional manifolds
- In this paper & the practice of ethogramming, one seeks behavioral "modules"
- In "synergies" framework, behaviors define a continuum without hard boundaries
 - Think Napier's observations on power & precision grips, the proto-synergies
 - Within these broader categories, there was a continuum of aperture sizes
 - There were also tasks such as rope tying with hybrid power-precision grips
- Grand unified hierarchical framework?
 - At coarse resolution, behavior is modular
 - At a fine enough resolution, behavior spans a continuum
 - Perhaps a similar reckoning to be had between "flexible" vs. "rule-based" motor learning

Not a lot, frankly

- That there's individual variation? Yeah, of course there is.
- That "context constrains behavioral expressivity"? Yes, there are stereotyped behaviors.
- That there are 49 fundamental postures? I don't think anyone would buy that, not even the authors. It all depends on the level of granularity one deems useful!
- The "findings" are simply not all that surprising
- But that's kind of the point
- To sell an automated behavioral analysis framework, you need to re-demonstrate your ground truth

End

Questions?

